Approved For Release 2001/08/21 : CIA-RDP89B00569R000600020007-0

1 17 JUL 1962

50-01615

MEMPERADUM FOR: Director of Contral Intelligence

: Preliminary Comment on Church Door Mission A MUNDE

No. 119 of

- 1. The results of this mission do not in any way change the most recent estimate of Chinese Communist intentions nor does it change the most recent watch Committee conclusions. No definitive evidence that the Chinese Commists are planning to initiate hestilities against the Offshere Islands was disclosed.
- E. No large scale troop concentrations were noted in the aroas covered and the junk and sampan count where observed does not seem to be unusetly large. In fact, the count of 650 junks and maspans in the Amoy area may be below normal. as many as 800 to 800 have been reported previously from other sources as a normal concentration in this area.
- 5. This mission reports a barracks area in the Anhai area morthemst of Amoy. From the coordinates given, this area does not appear to be the same as any of those covered in the how Tie Mission 114 of 4 July which disclosed 200 barracks in several locations further to the west and southwest of Anhai. The number of berracks reported in the Ashai area is 146. There is so indication that this is new construction and no description of their size but if they are of the same discussion as those reported in adjacent areas in the 4 July Sow Tie Mission, they could probably house at least one division or about 15,000 treops. Ambai to located on an imlet about 15 miles morth of Chimmen and could serve as a staging area for an amphibious assault on the island using shallow draft vessels.
  - 4. Truck activity is the Chiegypag area, northeast of Amoy was reported as considerable but only small groups of vehicles were involved with me large conveys reported. This could reflect activity by military maits in place. Northwest of Foochow a 226 track convey was observed proceeding toward Foochew. While it is impossible to determine the type of unit involved the number of vehicles suggest a regimental size unit. An infentry division has about 530 vehicles assigned to it.
  - 5. Sail-line disruption in the amoy area was noted and one part of the rail-line from Amoy to Langchi appears to be

GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification



abandoned. The rail-line from Yungan to amoy was covered and appears to be serviceable but so repairs or maintenance work was observed and apparently no rail traffic was observed. It would seem that much more activity would have been noted on this key rail line if heavy military preparations were currently underway. It is possible that the lack of activity reflects the completion of troop deployments noted earlier in communications intelligence.

- G. Rail traffic noted farther north in the Shunchang area does not appear to indicate heavy military movement. Of the several small trains noted in the area, only que, a 15 cer passenger train with two flat care leaded with vehicles appears to have been a troop train.
- 7. The photo mission indicates that the Chinese Communist have improved their sir defense capabilities in the Taiwas Strait area. For example, identification of 13 MIG-15/17 jet fighters at Chungan airfield provides the first firm evidence that this field has become operational as a fighter base. Resent communications intelligence has reflected some CCAF transport activity at Chungan, indicating the possible move of an air unit to the field.
- 3. In addition, the mission confirmed the reactivation and recent deployment of jet fighters to Shuikon airfield near Muiyang in the Canton area. Communications intelligence reflected the flight, in stages, of at least 46 jet fighters from the Kirim area of Northeast China to Shuikon between 14 and 24 June 1962.
- B. Eight HIG-IT fighters on Chingyang sirfield in the highest number noted to date; three HIGs were detected in photo intelligence on Chingyang has been used periodically as a recevery base for fighters operating over the Taiwas Struit from other fields. No CCif unit is based at Chingyang; however, fighters from bases farther inland may now be using Chingyang for strip alorts.
- 19. Information on the remainder of the sirfields confirms provious CCAY AGS (see attachment).

```
Dist: ECI
DDI
DDA
AD/CI
AD/AR
AD/BI
DIR/RPIC
AD/GRA Til From 66-12
FE/ERP (MPIC)
IS/PAD (MPIC)
SLO/CCI
Originator
```

## Approved For Release 2001/08/21 : CIA-RDP89B00569R000600020007-0

## IMMEDIATE PHOTOGRAPHIC INTERPRETATION REPORT. GRC-119, DATE OF INFORMATION

25X1D

Airfield (Coord.)	Aircraft	SIGIET AOB	PI
1. CHING-YANG 24-48N/118-35E	8 Freeco	None	3 MIG (6/27/62) 0 <b>17/3/62)</b>
2. CHUNG AN 27-41N/117-59E	13 Fagot/Freacquard 3 pos Acft.	(transpor	t )
3. FU CHOU/NAN TAI 26-00N/119-19E	26 Fagot/Fresco	Hegt, of 3rd AD (32)	26 MIG-17 (7/3/82)
4. HSIN-CHENG 25-33N/114-37E	15 Prob Pagot/ Fresco	Regt. of 4th AD & 2nd Ind. Regt.	
5. HSING-NING 24-09N/115-45E (Approx 100 bldgs in alld area)	13 Fresco and 4 Fagot	Elements 18th and th ADs (	9
6. HUI-AV 25-01N/114+48B	None	enoK	0 (7/3/62)
7. KAO CHI 24-32N/11-80E	None	None	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
8. LUNG CHI 24-33N/117-39E	20 Pagot/Fresoc	22nd AD	23 MIG (7/2/62) 18 MIG (7/4/62)
9. LUNG-TIEN 25-34H/119-27E	22 Fagot/Fresco	Regt, of	16 MIG (7/5/62)
10. MA CHIANG 24-40N/118-16E	None	None	0
11. NAN CHANG 28-38N/115-56E (Here and Obliquity Proclude Interpretation)	None	24th AD	Haiangtang 21 MIG 15/17 (6/30/62) Haiangtang 49 (6/29/62) Changshu 12 MIG 15/17 (6/29/62)
12. SHUI KOU 23-03N/114-36E	32 Fagot/Fresco	decently decloved the loved	15717 (5/99/62)
13. SWATCH MOSTREAST 23-26M/116-45E (Been Dious covering Alffield)	None	27. 726g.z	6 2624/62) 0 (6/24/62)
Tale 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		•	0 (7/5/82)

IOP SECRET DINAR MOFORM